

## Discrete Math Homework 2

### 4.6.18

We can assume that both  $a$  and  $d$  are integers where  $d > 0$  and such integers  $q_1, q_2, r_1,$  and  $r_2$  exist such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$d \cdot q_1 + r_1 = d \cdot q_2 + r_2$$

Assuming this to be true, we can then use simple algebra to derive:

$$r_2 - r_1 = d \cdot (q_1 - q_2)$$

We can be certain that both  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  fall between 0 and  $d$  as it signifies the remainder. As a result, we can assume the following conditions to be true:

$$0 \leq r_1 < d$$

$$0 \leq r_2 < d$$

Using these assumptions, we can derive the following:

$$-d < r_2 - r_1 < d$$

Knowing that  $r_2 - r_1 = d \cdot (q_1 - q_2)$ , we can substitute to get the following:

$$-d < d \cdot (q_1 - q_2) < d$$

Knowing that  $d > 0$ , we can divide the entire inequality by  $d$ , producing the following:

$$-1 < q_1 - q_2 < 1$$

Since we know that  $q_1 - q_2$  must be an integer ( $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), we can conclude that the only possible value for  $q_1 - q_2$  is 0. Thus, we can conclude that since  $q_1 - q_2 = 0$ ,  $q_1 = q_2$ . Because we know that  $q_1 = q_2$  and  $r_2 - r_1 = d \cdot (q_1 - q_2)$ , we can follow this stream of logic:

$$r_2 - r_1 = d \cdot 0$$

$$r_2 - r_1 = 0$$

$$r_1 = r_2$$

Thus, we conclude that  $q_1 = q_2$  and  $r_1 = r_2$

---

### 5.1.48

Since we are given that  $i = k + 1$ , we can simply substitute all  $k$  with  $i - 1$ . Knowing this, we can follow this stream of logic:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^5 k \cdot (k-1) \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^6 (i-1) \cdot ((i-1)-1) \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^6 (i-1) \cdot (i-2)
\end{aligned}$$


---

## 5.2.10

We are asked to prove the following where  $n \geq 1$ :

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

We begin assuming some integer  $m$  where  $m = n$ . Substituting, we get:

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + m^2 = \frac{m(m+1)(2m+1)}{6}$$

Thus, we can also conclude that the following is also true:

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + m^2 + (m+1)^2 = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)(2m+3)}{6}$$

We can also presume the following is true:

$$(1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + m^2) + (m+1)^2 = \frac{m(m+1)(2m+1)}{6} + (m+1)^2$$

We can thus follow this stream of logic:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + m^2) + (m+1)^2 &= \frac{m(m+1)(2m+1) + 6(m+1)^2}{6} \\
&= \frac{(m+1) \cdot \{m(2m+1) + 6(m+1)\}}{6} \\
&= \frac{(m+1)(2m^2 + 7m + 6)}{6} \\
&= \frac{(m+1)(m+2)(2m+3)}{6}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, because we arrive at this result which we predicted above, we have proven that

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$


---

## 5.3.29

Let us assume  $P(n)$  where  $P(n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . We can also assume an integer  $k$  such that  $P(k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . If we assume that the prior equation is true for  $k$  people in the room, we can easily expand this situation to  $k + 1$  people in the room. With one extra person, that extra person would need to give handshakes to all the people existing in the room ( $k$ ). Thus, we can say that the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} P(k+1) &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k \\ &= \frac{k^2 - k + 2k}{2} \\ &= \frac{k^2 + k}{2} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Using our initial formula of  $P(n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ , we can see that  $P(k+1) = \frac{(k+1)k}{2}$ , which is exactly what we have derive. Thus, the situation is proven.

---

## 5.4.7

For some number  $k$ , we can assume the following is correct, given the formula in the problem:

$$g_k - g_{k-1} = 2 \cdot (g_{k-1} - g_{k-2})$$

Extending this formula, we can extrapolate the following:

$$2 \cdot (g_{k-1} - g_{k-2}) = 2^{k-2} \cdot (g_2 - g_1) = 2^{k-1}$$

We can analyze this pattern as such:

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 - g_1 &= 2 \\ g_3 - g_2 &= 4 \\ &\dots \\ g_n - g_{n-1} &= 2^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $g_n - g_1$  for some arbitrary  $n$  must be  $2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{n-1}$ , which is equal to  $2^n - 2$ . Thus, we know that  $g_n$  must be  $2^n - 2 + g_1$ , which is equal to  $2^n + 1$

---

## 5.5.38

Let us define  $c_n$  as the number of distinct ways in which to climb  $n$  stairs.

Where  $n = 1$ ,  $c_1 = 1$  and where  $n = 2$ ,  $c_2 = 2$ . This is solved intuitively. We can therefore

generalize the following where  $n \geq 3$ , there are two options: the last step being either 1 or 2 steps. In the case of a 1 step as the last step, there are  $c_{n-1}$  ways to reach the last step.

Similarly, the case of 2 steps as the last move brings about  $c_{n-2}$  ways to reach the last set of 2

stairs. Thus, the number of ways that we can reach a certain  $n$  number of steps using the two aforementioned step sizes is by summing these two, generating the following:

$$c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}$$

. Thus, we can conclude the following for the situation:

$$c_1 = 1, c_2 = 2$$

$$c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2} \mid n \geq 3$$

---

## 5.6.25

Let us assume that  $n$  is the input size for the program. Let us also assume that  $O_n$  signifies the number of operations done for a particular number of inputs,  $n$ .

We are given, in the problem that  $O_1 = 7$  and that  $O_n = O_{n-1} \cdot 2$ . Knowing this, we can intuitively say that  $O_n = 7 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ . Knowing this, we can plug in 25 as our input to compute the answer:

$$O_{25} = 7 \cdot 2^{24}$$